

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/302059402>

# Poly is more Effective than Mono – Unsaturated Fat For dietary management IN the Metabolic Syndrome: The MUFFIN Study

Article in *Journal of Clinical Lipidology* · May 2016

DOI: 10.1016/j.jacl.2016.04.011

CITATIONS

0

READS

37

8 authors, including:



**Michael Miller**

University of Maryland, Baltimore

145 PUBLICATIONS 5,527 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**John Sorkin**

University of Maryland, Baltimore

163 PUBLICATIONS 7,745 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Andrew P Goldberg**

University of Maryland, Baltimore

219 PUBLICATIONS 10,086 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

## Original Article

# Poly is more effective than monounsaturated fat for dietary management in the metabolic syndrome: The muffin study

Michael Miller, MD\*, John D. Sorkin, MD, PhD, Laura Mastella, DNP, Aimee Sutherland, BA, Jeffrey Rhyne, BA, Patrick Donnelly, BA, Kathy Simpson, RD, Andrew P. Goldberg, MD

*Department of Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA (Drs Miller, Sorkin, Mastella, Rhyne, Donnelly, Simpson and Goldberg); Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA (Dr Miller); Division of Cardiology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA (Drs Miller and Rhyne); University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA (Drs Miller, Sorkin, Mastella, Sutherland and Goldberg); Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Baltimore, USA (Drs Miller, Sorkin, Simpson and Goldberg); and Division of Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA (Drs Sorkin, Mastella, Simpson and Goldberg)*

**KEYWORDS:**

Diet;  
MUFA;  
PUFA;  
Endothelial function;  
Lipoproteins;  
Triglyceride;  
FMD;  
Muffins;  
Metabolic syndrome;  
African Americans

**BACKGROUND:** The metabolic syndrome (MetS) is highly prevalent and associated with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease (CVD). Lifestyle recommendations to treat MetS often include the replacement of saturated fats (SFAs) and monosaccharides with unsaturated fat. However, it is unclear whether metabolic parameters will improve more when the saturated fat in American Heart Association (AHA) diets is replaced with higher concentrations of monounsaturated or polyunsaturated fatty acids (MUFA or PUFA).

**OBJECTIVE:** To test the hypothesis that an AHA diet enriched in MUFA improves lipoprotein lipids, insulin resistance, inflammation, and endothelial function to a greater extent than a diet enriched in PUFA in middle-aged men and women with MetS.

**METHODS:** A prospective, open-label, parallel group design with randomization to a hypocaloric MUFA or PUFA-enriched diet after weight stabilization on an AHA step I diet. Participants consumed 3 MUFA-enriched or PUFA-enriched muffins daily with additional supplementation as required to ensure 25%–50% increases in dietary fat intake from these sources at the expense of SFA and the opposing unsaturated fat. Changes in MetS components were measured at baseline and after 6 months of dietary intervention.

**RESULTS:** Thirty-nine participants (mean age, 60.8 years; 79% African-American, 60% women) with MetS completed the 6-month study. Compared to baseline, assignment to either MUFA (n = 23) or PUFA (n = 16) both were associated with weight loss (MUFA:  $-2.3 \pm 1$  kg,  $P = .06$ ; PUFA:  $-4.6 \pm 2$  kg;  $P = .002$ ), but PUFA was also associated with reductions in triglycerides

\* Corresponding author. 110 S. Paca St, Suite 7-124 Baltimore, MD 21201, USA.

E-mail address: [mmiller@medicine.umaryland.edu](mailto:mmiller@medicine.umaryland.edu)

Submitted March 2, 2016. Accepted for publication April 26, 2016.

(TG) ( $-30 \pm 18$  mg/dL,  $P = .02$ ), systolic blood pressure (BP) ( $-7 \pm 3$  mm Hg,  $P = .01$ ), diastolic BP (DBP) ( $-4 \pm 2$  mm Hg,  $P = .01$ ) and improved flow mediated dilation (FMD) ( $7.1\% \pm 1.8\%$  vs  $13.6\% \pm 2\%$ , absolute increase;  $P = .0001$ ). When compared to MUFA treatment, PUFA intervention was associated with reduced TG ( $P = .04$ ) and DBP ( $P = .07$ ) as well as increased FMD ( $P = .04$ ) even after adjustment for changes in weight. There was no effect on total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, glucose, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), or other inflammatory proteins. Overall, 25% (4 of 16) assigned to PUFA and 13% (3 of 23) to MUFA converted to non-MetS status.

**CONCLUSION:** Substitution of SFA with PUFA in patients with MetS is associated with greater reductions in TG and improvement in endothelial function than MUFA that is independent of weight loss. These preliminary findings raise the possibility that PUFA may be the unsaturated fat of choice to reduce cardiometabolic risk in patients with MetS.

© 2016 National Lipid Association. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

The metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a common condition characterized by 3 or more of the following metabolic abnormalities<sup>1</sup>: BP  $\geq 130/85$  mm Hg,<sup>2</sup> fasting glucose  $\geq 100$  mg/dL,<sup>3</sup> waist circumference  $\geq 102$  cm (40 inches) in men and 88 cm (35 inches) in women and dyslipidemia<sup>4</sup> (triglycerides [TG]  $\geq 150$  mg/dL and<sup>5</sup> high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]  $< 40$  in men and  $< 50$  mg/dL in women). The metabolic syndrome is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease (CVD).<sup>1,2</sup> Although lifestyle modification (changes in diet and increased activity) is the cornerstone of initial therapy in MetS, the most beneficial dietary measures to reduce weight and improve the associated abnormal metabolic characteristics of the MetS remain unclear. After early studies identified a high intake of saturated animal-based fat to be associated with increased risk of CVD,<sup>3-7</sup> a shift to higher carbohydrate (CHO) combined with lower total and saturated fat acid (SFA) intake was advocated by the American Heart Association (AHA).<sup>8</sup> However, because high CHO diets enriched with monosaccharides were associated with worsening of metabolic parameters, especially in subjects with obesity and T2DM, the scientific sentiment shifted in favor of substitution of unsaturated fat.<sup>9</sup> Unsaturated fats are divided into two types, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fats. However, the optimum type of fat to consume remains controversial. For example, higher intake of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) has been associated with reduced CVD risk in some studies,<sup>10,11</sup> whereas other studies identified polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) to be more strongly cardioprotective.<sup>12,13</sup> Intake of MUFA or PUFA has been shown to reduce inflammation,<sup>14,15</sup> although it is suggested that MUFA may be more potent than PUFA at reducing oxidation of LDL particles<sup>16</sup> and decreasing platelet aggregation.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, a MUFA-enriched Mediterranean diet is associated with reduced incidence of MetS and components of MetS,<sup>18</sup> as well as excellent adherence rates.<sup>19</sup> Thus, a MUFA-enriched diet may be the most acceptable diet for MetS, from both a biochemical and adherence

standpoint. Yet, few if any studies have directly compared a hypocaloric AHA diet enriched in MUFA or PUFA and lower in SFA on the metabolic abnormalities associated with MetS. This is an important clinical issue because long-term improvement in these metabolic parameters might translate into reduced risk of CVD complications associated with MetS. We hypothesized that a MUFA rather than a PUFA-enriched AHA diet combined with weight loss would be associated with greater cardiometabolic benefits of MUFA as compared to PUFA in overweight subjects with MetS.

## Materials and methods

Adult men and women with MetS were recruited from the Baltimore VA Medical Center, University of Maryland Medical Center and local hospital outpatient general medicine clinics. Inclusion criteria permitted the use of medication for hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (e.g., oral hypoglycemic agents) provided that the medication dosage regimen was stable for a minimum of 3 months before study entry. Exclusion criteria included subjects with T2DM treated with insulin, hemoglobin A1C  $> 9\%$  or untreated metabolic (e.g., thyroid, Cushing's) disorders. The study was designed to measure several different cardiovascular (CV) risk-associated parameters including (1) biochemical measurements (e.g., lipoprotein lipids, glucose, insulin, biomarkers of inflammation), and (2) physiological assessment of endothelial function using brachial artery reactivity testing (BART).<sup>20</sup> Figure 1 provides an overview of the study design. All subjects were instructed and weight stabilized on an AHA Step 1 diet<sup>1</sup> for 1-2 months, after, which baseline (time 0) measurements of body weight, waist circumference, resting BP, fasting lipids, glucose, and inflammatory proteins were measured after a 12-hour overnight fast as previously described.<sup>13,21</sup> On separate days after a 12-hour overnight fast, BART was performed to measure flow-mediated dilation (FMD).<sup>21</sup> All subjects signed informed consent, and the protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards

	Month						
	AHA diet		MUFA or PUFA Assignment				
	-2	-1	0	1	2	4	6
7 Day Dietary review	x		x				x
Weight	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Waist Circumference	x		x		x		x
Blood Pressure	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Fasting lipids and glucose	x		x				x
Inflammatory Proteins			x				x
FMD			x				x

**Figure 1** Overview of study design before and after assignment to a MUFA-enriched or PUFA-enriched diet.

of the University of Maryland School of Medicine and the Baltimore Veterans Affairs Medical Center.

### Dietary instruction and randomization to high-oleic sunflower or safflower oil based muffins

During the AHA step 1 stabilization diet and at baseline, energy intake was composed of 45%–50% carbohydrates, 15%–20% protein and 30%–35% of fat divided approximately equally between SFA, MUFA, and PUFA. After baseline measurements, subjects were randomized using a 1:1 assignment ratio to either a hypocaloric MUFA-enriched or PUFA-enriched diet for 6 months aimed at reducing energy intake by ~300 kcal/day. Although the relative percentages of CHO, fat, and protein were kept constant, the SFA content was reduced in both groups from ~30% to ~25% of total fat intake and replaced with either MUFA or PUFA. Dietary assignment included daily consumption of 3 MUFA (high-oleic sunflower oil) or PUFA (safflower oil) enriched muffins. Each 3.5-ounce muffin contained 275 calories; high-oleic sunflower oil muffins contained 10.3-g MUFA, 0.7-g PUFA, and 1.4-g SFA and safflower oil muffins contained 9.2-g PUFA, 2-g MUFA, and 1-g SFA. All muffins were prepared in the metabolic kitchen of the USDA (Beltsville, MD) and kept frozen in a canister until use. Participants met with a registered dietitian weekly for the first 4 months and then biweekly to collect a fresh supply of muffins and reinforce individual dietary and weight loss recommendations. Participants were also asked to complete 7-day food records on completion of baseline testing (immediately before the MUFA-PUFA intervention) and at the end of the 6-month MUFA-PUFA intervention. The dietary food records included the number of muffins consumed daily as well as consumption of other MUFA and PUFA containing foods. Energy and nutrient composition was assessed using Nutritionist Pro software.

### MOVE! program

At baseline, participants received a submaximal Bruce exercise stress test<sup>22</sup> was performed to evaluate

cardiovascular safety for exercise and endurance, after, which they were instructed by an exercise physiologist on the Veteran's Affairs-based MOVE! program. The MOVE! program is a leisurely home-based walking program.<sup>23</sup> During the 6-month intervention phase, subjects were encouraged to walk on their own at least 3 days per week for 30–45 minutes. After completion of the 6-month MUFA or PUFA assignment, subjects were weight stabilized for 10 days before repeat post-dietary testing.

### Lipoprotein and biochemical analysis

After an overnight fast, 30 mL of blood was collected by venipuncture into 2 tubes containing EDTA and centrifuged within 30 minutes at 4°C to separate plasma. Total cholesterol and TG concentrations were measured using a Hitachi 704 clinical chemistry analyzer (Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) with reagents supplied by the manufacturer (cholesterol/HP, cat. no. 816302; triglycerides/GPO, cat. no 816370). HDL-C was measured in the clear supernatant after a double precipitation with high-molecular weight dextran sulfate as previously described.<sup>24</sup> Plasma samples were stored at –80°C for analysis of inflammatory biomarkers high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) using a Proinflammatory Panel V-Plex Kit (Meso Scale Diagnostics, Inc). Glucose levels were measured by a glucose oxidase method (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA) and insulin by insulin specific double antibody radioimmunoassay using human insulin standards and tracer (Linco, St. Louis, MO) with baseline and post-intervention samples included in the same assay.

### FMD assessment

Subjects were instructed to be in a fasting state and not smoke or drink coffee for 12 or more hours before the study. The next morning, they were positioned on a stretcher bed in a temperature-controlled room designated for BART studies to measure FMD. An automatic blood pressure cuff was placed on the right arm for intermittent blood pressure and heart rate monitoring throughout the study. Electrodes were placed to monitor a one-lead EKG from the ultrasound system. Another blood pressure cuff was placed on the subject's upper left arm well above the antecubital fossa. The brachial artery was imaged above the antecubital fossa in the longitudinal plane by continuous 2D gray-scale imaging using an 11-MHz ultrasound (HDI 5000 [Phillips, Andover, Massachusetts]) by a trained sonographer as previously described.<sup>21</sup> The blood pressure cuff was inflated to 200 mm Hg and kept inflated for 5 minutes. On immediate release of the cuff, the brachial artery was imaged, and Doppler assessment of the hyperemic velocity was recorded within 8 seconds followed by 2D imaging with maximum dilation occurring 1 minute after cuff release. Using longitudinal images, the boundaries for diameter measurement were calibrated manually at the

lumen-intima interface. All images were captured on videotape and read in a blinded fashion.

## Statistical analyses

Baseline (after the baseline AHA diet and immediately before MUFA or PUFA assignment) comparisons of subjects randomized to MUFA vs. PUFA groups was performed with one-way ANOVA. The group changes (6-month value minus baseline) were computed and compared between groups using one-way ANOVA adjusted for the baseline value of the outcome measure and the change in weight that occurred during the 6-month MUFA-PUFA intervention (change = group + baseline value + weight change). Results are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. A two-tailed  $P < .05$  was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

## Results

### Subjects

A total of 182 men and women were screened for the study and of the 60% who qualified ( $n = 110$ ) 20% dropped out during the AHA dietary baseline phase ( $n = 88$ ) and 44% ( $n = 39$ ) completed the 6-month dietary MUFA ( $n = 23$ ) or PUFA ( $n = 16$ ) intervention. The post-randomization attrition rate included unanticipated medical and family events (e.g., extended medical illness) and loss to follow-up. The study subjects, 60% were women and 79% were African-American were obese (mean body mass index,  $35.7 \pm 0.9$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>; range, 22–47 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with a mean age of  $60.9 \pm 8.5$  years (range, 38–76) for whom. Of medications affecting BART, only a small percentage received statins (MUFA, 26%; PUFA, 25%) or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (MUFA, 26%; PUFA, 19%) at baseline. Medications and dosage regimen remained stable throughout the 6-month study.

### Dietary compliance

As shown in Table 1, 34 of 39 participants completed 7-day dietary food records, providing the energy and macronutrient composition at baseline (time 0) and at completion of the 6-month MUFA or PUFA dietary intervention. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in total energy intake or in CHO, protein, total, or saturated fat at baseline. Similarly, the change in these metabolic components over the 6-month intervention did not differ between groups. During the study, the proportion of SFA to total fat consumed was reduced in MUFA (29% to 26%;  $P = .08$ ) and PUFA assigned groups (28% to 25%;  $P < .01$ ). Reciprocally, there was a 46% increase in the MUFA/SFA ratio in the MUFA group ( $P < .0001$ ), whereas

assignment to PUFA was associated with a 59% increase in PUFA/SFA intake ( $P < .005$ ). Not surprisingly, assignment to MUFA resulted in increased consumption of 18:1 oleate ( $P < .01$ ), whereas PUFA treatment was associated with greater intake of 18:2 linoleate ( $P < .01$ ) and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol ( $P < .0001$ ). Because omega-3 fish oil capsule supplementation was not permitted by the subjects, there were no significant differences in the intake of marine-based 20:5 EPA (eicosapentaenoic acid) and 22:6 DHA (docosahexanoic acid) between the groups.

### Cardiometabolic outcomes

The prevalence of MetS components at baseline was hypertension (90%), fasting plasma glucose  $\geq 100$  mg/dL (82%) or T2DM (51%), increased waist circumference (72%), low HDL-C (67%), and TG  $> 150$  mg/dL (21%). Compared to baseline, reductions in body weight and waist circumference were observed after MUFA ( $-2.3 \pm 1$  kg,  $P = .06$ ;  $-2.8 \pm 1$  cm,  $P = .02$ ) and PUFA ( $-4.6 \pm 2$  kg,  $P = .002$ ;  $-5.8 \pm 2$  cm,  $P = .001$ ) intervention. The MUFA group demonstrated borderline significant decreases in insulin ( $P = .06$ ) and HOMA-IR, whereas PUFA intervention was also associated with significant reductions in TG ( $-30 \pm 18$  mg/dL,  $P = .02$ ), systolic blood pressure (BP) ( $-7 \pm 3$  mm Hg,  $P = .01$ ), diastolic BP (DBP) ( $-4 \pm 2$  mm Hg,  $P = .01$ ) and improved FMD (regardless of whether the change was expressed in mm or as a percentage change; Table 2).

Analysis of covariance demonstrated that the beneficial effects of PUFA compared to the MUFA-enriched diet on TG ( $P = .04$ ), FMD ( $P = .04$ ), and DBP ( $P = .07$ ) persisted independent of weight loss. However, the effects of both diets on biomarkers of inflammation (hs-CRP, IL-8, and TNF $\alpha$ ) were highly variable, and between group differences did not reach statistical significance. Overall, 18% subjects converted from MetS to non-MetS status, but there were no differences in conversion between the PUFA (4 of 16, 25%) and MUFA (3 of 23, 13%) MUFA groups ( $P < .42$ , Fisher exact test).

## Discussion

Our randomized study in which MUFA-enriched and PUFA-enriched fatty acids were substituted for SFA represents, to our knowledge, the first comparative study demonstrating the statistically significant beneficial effects of PUFA compared to MUFA in treating two components of MetS (weight and TG), a favorable trend on a third component (DBP) and clear benefits to FMD. The positive results in PUFA vs MUFA are contrary to our original hypothesis and the known beneficial effects of MUFA-enriched diets on metabolism.<sup>9,18,19</sup> It is possible that the addition of weight loss and the VA MOVE! Program in our obese subjects with MetS and T2DM receiving the PUFA diet likely contributed to the favorable outcomes.

**Table 1** Mean energy and nutrient composition (+/– SE) at baseline and after dietary intervention based on dietary records in MUFA (n = 19) and PUFA (n = 15) subjects

	Baseline	%*	6 mo	%*	P value	
					Baseline–6 mo	MUFA vs PUFA
<b>KCAL</b>						
MUFA	1657 (78.3)		1638 (61.4)		.83	
PUFA	1649 (122.7)		1551 (87.1)		.32	.53
<b>CHO (g)</b>						
MUFA	197.0 (13.0)	47	171.6 (10.9)	42	.01	
PUFA	203.7 (17.2)	49	189.5 (11.6)	48	.42	.57
<b>Protein (g)</b>						
MUFA	75.0 (4.2)	18	77.9 (5.5)	19	.69	
PUFA	77.4 (5.6)	19	67.3 (6.1)	17	.15	.19
<b>Total fat (g)</b>						
MUFA	64.7 (3.9)	35	69.4 (3.5)	38	.36	
PUFA	60.1 (6.0)	33	61.4 (4.2)	35	.78	.63
<b>Sat fat (g)</b>						
MUFA	18.7 (1.6)	10	18.4 (1.4)	10.1	.84	
PUFA	17.4 (2.1)	9.4	15.1 (1.2)	8.8	.19	.44
<b>18:1 (g)</b>						
MUFA	17.0 (1.5)		24.8 (1.8)		<.01	
PUFA	14.4 (1.7)		13.1 (1.5)		.37	<.001
<b>18:2 (g)</b>						
MUFA	10.7 (0.8)		9.6 (0.9)		.35	
PUFA	10.1 (1.7)		16.9 (1.2)		<.01	<.001
<b>20:5 (g)</b>						
MUFA	0.10 (0.02)		0.07 (0.04)		.37	
PUFA	0.05 (0.02)		0.10 (0.07)		.44	.28
<b>22:6 (g)</b>						
MUFA	0.21 (0.05)		0.10 (0.04)		<.05	
PUFA	0.10 (0.03)		0.15 (0.08)		.52	.11
<b>α-tocopherol (IU)</b>						
MUFA	5.5 (1.0)		6.8 (0.8)		.15	
PUFA	4.6 (0.4)		9.5 (0.8)		<.0001	<.01
<b>SFA/total fat (%)</b>						
MUFA	29 (11)		26 (1)		.08	
PUFA	28 (1)		25 (1)		<.01	.38
<b>Mono fat/sat fat (%)</b>						
MUFA	114 (8)		167 (10)	†46	<.0001	.001
PUFA	104 (10)		104 (6)		.95	
<b>Poly fat/sat fat (%)</b>						
MUFA	81 (8)		67 (5)	†59	.11	<.0005
PUFA	83 (12)		132 (11)		<.005	

\*Percentage of total energy intake.

†Percentage increase between baseline and 6 months.

Prior studies that focused on strategies for weight loss (~5%–7% of body weight) via diet with or without low-intensity physical activity showed improvements in MetS constituents.<sup>25,26</sup> Conversely, a diet high in SFA worsens MetS components and is associated with impaired FMD.<sup>21</sup> Although not all SFAs (e.g., plant-based) are highly atherogenic, animal-derived SFAs (i.e., red meat) are associated with a 25% increased risk of CV events.<sup>27</sup> Indeed, with few exceptions,<sup>28</sup> replacement of SFA for unsaturated fat is associated with reduced CV risk.<sup>8</sup> A pooled analysis of 11 cohort studies found a 13% reduced CV risk

when PUFA was substituted for SFA.<sup>12</sup> The present study shows that the dietary substitution of SFA with PUFA or MUFA is associated with a 6.5% absolute increase in FMD a magnitude of change that is considered highly significant.<sup>20</sup>

Although the present study found MUFA enrichment to be associated with a 13% conversion rate to non-MetS status, PUFA enrichment exhibited a 25% conversion rate, primarily by reducing waist, TG, and a nonstatistically significant trend toward reducing BP. Although not demonstrated in the present study, the anti-inflammatory actions

**Table 2** Effect of 6 months of MUFA vs PUFA diet on metabolic parameters and markers of inflammation

Outcome	MUFA					PUFA					Between Groups	
	N	Month		Within group		N	Month		Within group			
		0	6	Mean (SE)	Change		P	0	6	Mean (SE)	Change	P
Weight (kg)	23	106 (4)	104 (4)	-2.3 (1)	.06	16	103 (3)	98 (3)	-4.6(2)	<b>.002</b>	.2	N/A
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	23	166 (9)	175 (8)	9 (5)	.22	16	172 (11)	170 (14)	-1 (11)	.88	.37	.48
LDL-C (mg/dL)	23	100 (7)	103 (6)	2 (3)	.67	16	97 (10)	101 (12)	4 (9)	.6	.89	.92
HDL-C (mg/dL)	23	46 (3)	48 (3)	2 (1)	.23	16	48 (4)	49 (4)	2 (2)	.41	.89	.85
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	23	109 (8)	114 (9)	6 (5)	.6	16	135 (21)	105 (14)	-30 (18)	<b>.02</b>	<b>.04</b>	<b>.01</b>
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	23	116 (4)	119 (4)	2 (5)	.69	15	111 (5)	112 (6)	1 (3)	.81	.95	.83
Insulin (units)	22	99 (10)	83 (8)	-16 (8)	.06	14	83 (9)	76 (15)	-7 (12)	.52	.48	.87
HOMA (units)	21	4.3 (0.5)	3.5 (0.4)	-0.8 (0.5)	.1	13	3.1 (0.4)	3.2 (0.8)	0.1 (0.5)	.94	.28	.83
SBP (mm Hg)	23	128 (2)	125 (2)	-3 (2)	.15	16	128 (2)	121 (2)	-7 (3)	<b>.01</b>	.26	.15
DBP (mm Hg)	23	74 (1)	73 (1)	-1 (1)	.38	16	72 (2)	68 (1)	-4 (2)	<b>.01</b>	.15	.07
FMD (mm)	22	2.5 (0.4)	2.5 (0.3)	0 (0.3)	1	12	1.9 (0.5)	3.6 (0.5)	1.7 (0.5)	<b>.001</b>	<b>.006</b>	<b>.04</b>
FMD (%)	22	8.6 (1.2)	9.6 (1.0)	1 (1.1)	.42	12	7.1 (1.8)	13.6 (2)	7.1 (1.8)	<b>.0001</b>	<b>.004</b>	<b>.04</b>
hs-crp (units)	22	5.9 (1.8)	5.1 (1.6)	-0.8 (1.2)	.48	16	4.1 (0.9)	4.8 (1.0)	0.7 (0.9)	.59	.39	.77
IL-8 (units)	22	6.6 (0.6)	7.3 (0.7)	0.6 (0.4)	.25	16	7.2 (0.9)	7.9 (0.8)	0.7 (0.8)	.27	.92	.98
TNF $\alpha$ (units)	22	2.3 (0.2)	2.4 (0.2)	0.1 (0.1)	.39	16	1.9 (0.1)	2.0 (0.2)	0.2 (0.1)	.05	.33	.45

Bold indicates  $P < .05$ .

\*Unadjusted  $P$  value.

†Adjusted for initial value of outcome measure and change in weight during six-month MUFA or PUFA intervention.

of PUFAs to lower acute phase reactants and proinflammatory mediators, even in the absence of any appreciable intake of marine-derived omega-3 fatty acids are potential mechanisms to reduce CVD risk in MetS and T2DM.<sup>29,30</sup> The reduction in cytokine release from adipose tissue decreases adipocyte lipolysis and FFA levels<sup>31</sup> and is associated with increased PPAR $\gamma$  activity and insulin sensitivity, all of which could reduce TG production<sup>32</sup> in MetS. Nevertheless, these metabolic advantages of PUFA compared to MUFA intake are relatively modest, and to some extent, consistent with weight loss serving as a relevant contributor to the observed metabolic benefits.<sup>33,34</sup>

To exclude the possibility of differences in the palatability and thus differential adherence to the MUFA vs PUFA intervention, we blindly pretested the taste of the muffins at the onset of the trial. In fact, participants reported that they enjoyed consuming the assigned muffins. A potential reason for the greater weight loss in PUFA than in MUFA subjects is suggested by greater increases in the anorexigenic hormone peptide YY after PUFA intake compared to MUFA or SFA.<sup>35</sup> In addition to the 4.6% reduction in body weight, PUFA enrichment continued to be associated with improved FMD, TG, and a trend toward reduced DBP compared to MUFA assignment, even after controlling for weight loss. The basis for these differences is unclear but may in part be related to the relatively low SFA intake (8.8% of total energy), which we previously showed to be inversely related to FMD.<sup>21</sup> Indeed, the magnitude of improvement in FMD after PUFA treatment is similar to previous BART studies evaluating pharmacologic interventions (e.g., statins, ACE-inhibitors),<sup>20,36</sup> and

the recent demonstration of an inverse association between PUFA intake and CVD risk further supports the substitution of a PUFA-enriched diet in place of SFA and trans fats.<sup>37</sup>

There are several strengths and limitations associated with our study. Strengths included the use of USDA produced MUFA-enriched and PUFA-enriched muffins. To avoid potential confounding due to initial metabolic state, we designed the study so that subjects were metabolically and weight stabilized on an AHA type-I diet before the MUFA or PUFA intervention. Another notable highlight was the high percentage of African American (79%) participants, a group that is commonly underrepresented in clinical studies. Moreover, the prior studies that included subjects of African descent were generally observational, using food-frequency questionnaires rather than a randomized intervention clinical trial.<sup>38</sup> Unfortunately, the group samples were too small for a race-specific analysis.

Study limitations include the high post-randomization dropout rate of 55%. The value is similar to recent studies, which have had rates of 50%–60% range over a 6-month outpatient nutrition study period.<sup>39,40</sup> Despite the high dropout rate, there was good compliance across the study groups in meeting the nutritional goals of this clinical trial, as evidenced by the 88% completion rate of food records (i.e., 34 of 39 subjects). Another limitation is the use of food record recall to assess dietary intake, a metric far less sensitive than when all meals are prepared and distributed from a metabolic kitchen. Although all muffins were prepared by the USDA, additional dietary recommendations of required MUFA or PUFA intake were

individualized by the dietitian according to participant preferences and standardized between the two groups for intake. Overall, the food records, while variable, met the study requirements and the primary endpoints of the study, both of which were favorably influenced by the PUFA more than MUFA diet. Finally, there were several dropouts who provided blood samples at their 6-month follow-up visit but chose not to return for their follow-up BART study due to logistical reasons (e.g., transportation, scheduling).

## Conclusion

The results of this small, randomized clinical trial suggest PUFA rather than MUFA may be the unsaturated fat of choice for caloric replacement of saturated fatty acids in obese middle-aged men and women with MetS who are already after an AHA type-I diet and who are trying to lose weight and improve their cardiovascular risk profile. Although the diets were adhered to and there were no apparent differences in energy intake between groups according to the food records, controlled metabolic dietary studies would be needed in a larger study sample to confirm these preliminary results and determine the mechanisms underlying the observed PUFA-derived cardiometabolic benefits in patients with MetS.

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Knachelle Favors, PhD, for statistical support and Bill Rumpler, PhD, USDA for assistance with preparation of the muffins used in the study. The study was supported by a VA Merit Grant Award I01CX000147 the Baltimore VA Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center and as part of an NHLBI funded Nutrition Obesity Research Center (NORC) award (DK072488-4).

Author contributions: Drs Miller and Goldberg were involved in all aspects of the study, including designing the study, writing the article, and data analysis and interpretation. Dr Sorkin was involved in analyzing and interpreting the data and writing the article. Drs Mastella, Rhyne, and Donnelly were involved in the clinical and technical aspects of the study. Drs Sutherland and Simpson were involved in nutrition and food record analysis.

## Financial disclosure

There are no conflicts of interest for any of the authors.

## References

1. [Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the third report of The National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults. \*JAMA\*. 2001;285:2486–2497.](#)
2. [Nigam A, Bourassa MG, Fortier A, et al. The metabolic syndrome and its components and the long-term risk of death in patients with coronary heart disease. \*Am Heart J\*. 2006;151:514–521.](#)
3. [Connor WE, Hoak JC, Warner ED. Massive thrombosis produced by fatty acid infusion. \*J Clin Invest\*. 1963;42:860–866.](#)
4. [Dyerberg J, Bang HO. A hypothesis on the development of acute myocardial infarction in Greenlanders. \*Scand J Clin Lab Invest Suppl\*. 1982;161:7–13.](#)
5. [McGee D, Reed D, Stemmerman G, Rhoads G, Yano K, Feinleib M. The relationship of dietary fat and cholesterol to mortality in 10 years: Honolulu Heart Program. \*Int J Epidemiol\*. 1985;14:97–105.](#)
6. [Keys A, Menotti A, Karvonen MJ, et al. The diet and 15-year death rate in the seven countries study. \*Am J Epidemiol\*. 1986;124:903–915.](#)
7. [Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, et al. Dietary fat intake and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. \*N Engl J Med\*. 1997;337:1491–1499.](#)
8. [Van Horn L, Kavey RE. Diet and cardiovascular disease prevention: what works? \*Ann Behav Med\*. 1997;19:197–212.](#)
9. [Grundy SM. Dietary therapy in diabetes mellitus. Is there a single best diet? \*Diabetes Care\*. 1991;14:796–801.](#)
10. [de Lorgeril M, Salen P, Martin JL, Monjaud I, Delaye J, Mamelle N. Mediterranean diet, traditional risk factors, and the rate of cardiovascular complications after myocardial infarction. \*Circulation\*. 1999;99:779–785.](#)
11. [Martínez-González MA, Salas-Salvadó J, Estruch R, Corella D, Fitó M, Ros E, PREDIMED INVESTIGATORS. Benefits of the Mediterranean Diet: Insights From the PREDIMED Study. \*Prog Cardiovasc Dis\*. 2015;58:50–60.](#)
12. [Jakobsen MU, O'Reilly EJ, Heitmann BL, et al. Major types of dietary fat and risk of coronary heart disease: a pooled analysis of 11 cohort studies. \*Am J Clin Nutr\*. 2009;89:1425–1432.](#)
13. [Nicklas BJ, Dennis KE, Berman DM, Sorkin J, Ryan AS, Goldberg AP. Lifestyle intervention of hypocaloric dieting and walking reduces abdominal obesity and improves coronary heart disease risk factors in obese, postmenopausal, African-American and Caucasian women. \*J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci\*. 2003;58:181–189.](#)
14. [Yoneyama S, Miura K, Sasaki S, et al. Dietary intake of fatty acids and serum C-reactive protein in Japanese. \*J Epidemiol\*. 2007;17:86–92.](#)
15. [Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, et al. Effect of a mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial. \*JAMA\*. 2004;292:1440–1446.](#)
16. [Mata P, Varela O, Alonso R, et al. Monounsaturated and polyunsaturated n-6 fatty acid-enriched diets modify LDL oxidation. \*Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol\*. 1997;17:2088–2095.](#)
17. [Smith RD, Kelly CN, Fielding BA, et al. Long-term monounsaturated fatty acid diets reduce platelet aggregation in healthy young subjects. \*Br J Nutr\*. 2003;90:597–606.](#)
18. [Tortosa A, Bes-Rastrollo M, Sanchez-Villegas A, et al. Mediterranean diet inversely associated with incidence of metabolic syndrome. \*Diabetes Care\*. 2007;30:2957–2959.](#)
19. [Alvarez Leon EE, Henriquez P, Serra-Majem L. Mediterranean diet and metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study in the Canary Islands. \*Public Health Nutr\*. 2006;9:1089–1098.](#)
20. [Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, et al, International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. Guidelines for the ultrasound assessment of endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation of the brachial artery: a report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. \*J Am Coll Cardiol\*. 2002;39:257–265.](#)
21. [Miller M, Beach V, Sorkin JD, et al. Comparative effects of three popular diets on lipids, endothelial function, and C-reactive protein during weight maintenance. \*J Am Diet Assoc\*. 2009;109:713–717.](#)
22. [Bruce RA, Hornsten TR. Exercise stress testing in evaluation of patients with ischemic heart disease. \*Prog Cardiovasc Dis\*. 1969;11:371–390.](#)
23. [Locatelli SM, Sohn MW, Spring B, Hadi S, Weaver FM. Participant Retention in the Veterans Health Administration's MOVE! Weight management program, 2010. \*Prev Chronic Dis\*. 2012;9:E129.](#)

24. Halverstadt A, Phares DA, Ferrell RE, Wilund KR, Goldberg AP, Hagberg JM. High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, its subfractions, and responses to exercise training are dependent on endothelial lipase genotype. *Metab Clin Exp*. 2003;52:1505–1511.
25. Straznicki NE, Lambert EA, Lambert GW, Masuo K, Esler MD, Nestel PJ. Effects of dietary weight loss on sympathetic activity and cardiac risk factors associated with the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2005;90:5998–6005.
26. Joseph LJ, Prigeon RL, Blumenthal JB, Ryan AS, Goldberg AP. Weight loss and low-intensity exercise for the treatment of metabolic syndrome in obese postmenopausal women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2011;66:1022–1029.
27. de Oliveira Otto MC, Mozaffarian D, Kromhout D, et al. Dietary intake of saturated fat by food source and incident cardiovascular disease: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2012; 96:397–404.
28. Vafeiadou K, Weech M, Altowajri H, et al. Replacement of saturated with unsaturated fats had no impact on vascular function but beneficial effects on lipid biomarkers, E-selectin, and blood pressure: results from the randomized, controlled Dietary Intervention and VAScular function (DIVAS) study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2015;102(1):40–48.
29. Harris WS, Miller M, Tighe AP, Davidson MH, Schaefer EJ. Omega-3 fatty acids and coronary heart disease risk: clinical and mechanistic perspectives. *Atherosclerosis*. 2008;197:12–24.
30. Miller M, Stone NJ, Ballantyne C, et al, on behalf of the American Heart Association Clinical Lipidology, Thrombosis, and Prevention Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism, Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis and Vascular Biology, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, and Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease. Triglycerides and Cardiovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2011;123:2292–2333.
31. Souza SC, Palmer HJ, Kang YH, et al. TNF-alpha induction of lipolysis is mediated through activation of the extracellular signal related kinase pathway in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *J Cell Biochem*. 2003;89: 1077–1086.
32. Nestel PJ, Connor WE, Reardon MF, Connor S, Wong S, Boston R. Suppression by diets rich in fish oil of very low density lipoprotein production in man. *J Clin Invest*. 1984;74:82–89.
33. Katzell LI, Bleecker ER, Colman EG, Rogus EM, Sorkin JD, Goldberg AP. Effects of weight loss vs aerobic exercise training on risk factors for coronary disease in healthy, obese, middle-aged and older men. A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1995;274:1915–1921.
34. Sato F, Tamura Y, Watada H, et al. Effects of diet-induced moderate weight reduction on intrahepatic and intramyocellular triglycerides and glucose metabolism in obese subjects. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;92:3326–3329.
35. Stevenson JL, Clevenger HC, Cooper JA. Hunger and satiety responses to high-fat meals of varying fatty acid composition in women with obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2015;23:1980–1986.
36. Matsuzawa Y, Guddeti RR, Kwon TG, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Secondary prevention strategy of cardiovascular disease using endothelial function testing. *Circ J*. 2015;79:685–694.
37. Wang Q, Afshin A, Yakoob MY, et al. Impact of Nonoptimal Intakes of Saturated, Polyunsaturated, and Trans Fat on Global Burdens of Coronary Heart Disease. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2016;5:e002891.
38. Colombo O, Ferretti VV, Ferraris C, et al. Is drop-out from obesity treatment a predictable and preventable event? *Nutr J*. 2014;13:13.
39. Minniti A, Bissoli L, Di Francesco V, et al. Individual versus group therapy for obesity: comparison of dropout rate and treatment outcome. *Eat Weight Disord*. 2007;12:161–167.
40. Gardener H, Wright CB, Gu Y, et al. Mediterranean-style diet and risk of ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction, and vascular death: the Northern Manhattan Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;94:1458–1464.